

NOTICE: THIS DECISION DOES NOT CREATE LEGAL PRECEDENT
AND MAY NOT BE CITED EXCEPT AS AUTHORIZED BY APPLICABLE
RULES. See Ariz. R. Supreme Court 111(c); ARCAP 28(c); Ariz. R. Crim. P. 31.24.

FILED BY CLERK

NOV 19 2008

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
STATE OF ARIZONA
DIVISION TWO

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,

Appellee,

v.

KRYSTAL LEE EISENSEE,

Appellant.

)
)
) 2 CA-CR 2007-0241
) DEPARTMENT B
)

MEMORANDUM DECISION

)
) Not for Publication
) Rule 111, Rules of
) the Supreme Court
)
)

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PIMA COUNTY

Cause No. CR-20063044

Honorable Gus Aragón, Judge

AFFIRMED

Robert J. Hirsh, Pima County Public Defender
By Nancy F. Jones and M. Edith Cunningham

Tucson
Attorneys for Appellant

E C K E R S T R O M, Presiding Judge.

¶1 A jury found appellant Krystal Eisensee guilty of possession of drug paraphernalia, a class six, undesignated offense, and possession of a dangerous drug, a class four felony. The trial court suspended the imposition of sentence and placed Eisensee on probation for three years. Counsel has filed a brief in compliance with *Anders v.*

California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), and *State v. Clark*, 196 Ariz. 530, 2 P.3d 89 (App. 1999), stating she has diligently reviewed the record and has found no arguable issues to raise on appeal. Counsel asks this court to search the record for fundamental error. Eisensee has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶2 We view the evidence in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdicts. *State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999). The evidence established that, after a Pima County deputy sheriff stopped Eisensee for a traffic violation, the deputy saw marijuana and a marijuana pipe in the glove box of the vehicle Eisensee was driving and then found a plastic bag with methamphetamine and a pipe containing methamphetamine residue in the purse from which Eisensee had removed her driver's license. There was sufficient evidence to support the jury's findings of guilt. *See* A.R.S. §§ 13-3407(A)(1), 13-3415(A).

¶3 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental, reversible error and have found none. Therefore, we affirm the convictions and the term of probation imposed.

PETER J. ECKERSTROM, Presiding Judge

CONCURRING:

PHILIP G. ESPINOSA, Judge

GARYE L. VÁSQUEZ, Judge